

# House of Commons Committee of Public Accounts

# Clinical correspondence handling in the NHS

# Forty-Third Report of Session 2017–19

Report, together with formal minutes relating to the report

Ordered by the House of Commons to be printed 23 May 2018

#### The Committee of Public Accounts

The Committee of Public Accounts is appointed by the House of Commons to examine "the accounts showing the appropriation of the sums granted by Parliament to meet the public expenditure, and of such other accounts laid before Parliament as the committee may think fit" (Standing Order No. 148).

#### **Current membership**

Meg Hillier MP (Labour (Co-op), Hackney South and Shoreditch) (Chair)

Bim Afolami MP (Conservative, Hitchin and Harpenden)

Sir Geoffrey Clifton-Brown MP (Conservative, The Cotswolds)

Martyn Day MP (Scottish National Party, Linlithgow and East Falkirk)

Chris Evans MP (Labour (Co-op), Islwyn)

Caroline Flint MP (Labour, Don Valley)

Luke Graham MP (Conservative, Ochil and South Perthshire)

Robert Jenrick MP (Conservative, Newark)

Gillian Keegan MP (Conservative, Chichester)

Shabana Mahmood MP (Labour, Birmingham, Ladywood)

Layla Moran MP (Liberal Democrat, Oxford West and Abingdon)

Stephen Morgan MP (Labour, Portsmouth South)

Anne Marie Morris MP (Conservative, Newton Abbot)

Bridget Phillipson MP (Labour, Houghton and Sunderland South)

Lee Rowley MP (Conservative, North East Derbyshire)

Gareth Snell MP (Labour (Co-op), Stoke-on-Trent Central)

#### Powers

Powers of the Committee of Public Accounts are set out in House of Commons Standing Orders, principally in SO No. 148. These are available on the Internet via <a href="https://www.parliament.uk">www.parliament.uk</a>.

#### **Publication**

Committee reports are published on the <u>Committee's website</u> and in print by Order of the House.

Evidence relating to this report is published on the <u>inquiry publications page</u> of the Committee's website.

#### **Committee staff**

The current staff of the Committee are Richard Cooke (Clerk), Dominic Stockbridge (Second Clerk), Hannah Wentworth (Chair Support), Carolyn Bowes and Kutumya Kibedi (Committee Assistants), and Tim Bowden (Media Officer).

#### **Contacts**

All correspondence should be addressed to the Clerk of the Committee of Public Accounts, House of Commons, London SW1A 0AA. The telephone number for general enquiries is 020 7219 6593; the Committee's email address is pubaccom@parliament.uk.

# Contents

| Su  | mmary   | 3  |
|-----|---|----|
| Int | roduction   | 4  |
| Co  | nclusions and recommendations                                       | 5  |
| 1   | The scale and impact of the incident                                | 7  |
|     | The scale of the new backlog  | 7  |
|     | The impact of the two incidents on patients                         | 8  |
| 2   | NHS England's handling of the incident                              | 9  |
|     | Communicating the arrangements for handling clinical correspondence | 9  |
|     | Action taken by NHS England in response to non-compliance by GPs    | 9  |
|     | Escalating the issue  | 10 |
| Fo  | rmal minutes  | 11 |
| Wi  | tnesses   | 12 |
| Pu  | blished correspondence  | 12 |
| Lis | t of Reports from the Committee during the current session          | 13 |

# Summary

The NHS has wasted nearly two and a half million pounds reviewing the handling of misdirected clinical correspondence. Previously we reported that NHS Shared Business Service mishandled sensitive clinical correspondence about patients. However, this time it was NHS England that was far too slow to treat the issue seriously and take action. As a result, the problem got worse and remedial action for patients was delayed. Over the two incidents, one million pieces of clinical correspondence have not been handled appropriately. NHS England is still assessing nearly 2,000 cases to determine whether there has been harm to patients and has so far identified two incidents where expert consultant review has concluded that patient harm cannot be ruled out. In view of the record of failings and number of unresolved cases, we will need further assurance that NHS England has finally got a grip of the problem when it reports back to us later this year.

## Introduction

Up to 31 May 2015, NHS Shared Business Services (NHS SBS) was one of a number of NHS and private providers responsible for redirecting correspondence about patients that was sent to the wrong GP. In March 2016 NHS SBS informed NHS England and the Department of Health that it had discovered a backlog of approximately 435,000 items of unprocessed clinical correspondence. We took evidence on this issue in October 2017 and issued a report on 29 November 2017. During the course of that inquiry NHS England informed us that it had discovered a new backlog of 162,000 items of clinical correspondence that had not been redirected. NHS England stated that a small proportion of GPs had not been complying with guidance and had erroneously been sending clinical correspondence and other material to Capita, the current provider of primary care support services. NHS England is responsible for arranging primary care support services in England and for the process for redirecting clinical correspondence. In May 2015 NHS England introduced new arrangements and since that date GPs are to return misdirected correspondence to the sender. At our March 2018 evidence session we examined how NHS England had allowed another backlog of unprocessed clinical correspondence to accumulate.

## Conclusions and recommendations

NHS England understated the problem at our evidence session in October 2017 1. by not disclosing the full extent of the new backlog of clinical correspondence. NHS England told us in October 2017 that there were about 150,000 items of correspondence that needed to be returned to the correct GP, in addition to 12,000 items that transferred from NHS SBS. However by July 2017, it had actually identified a total of 277,000 items of mishandled clinical correspondence and, by November 2017, this had increased to nearly 374,000 items. NHS England now states that the 150,000 represented the number of items of correspondence that it had identified for further triage at that point, having discounted other non-clinical correspondence items that had been identified. However, NHS England should have told us about the full extent of the issue when it first disclosed the problem. It now says that, in fact, it overstated the figure in October 2017, as there turned out to be only 30,328 items that required clinical triage. Furthermore, NHS England led us to believe in October 2017 that the new cases of mishandled correspondence had been discovered as a result of checks that it had carried out following the NHS SBS incident. However, the new correspondence had in fact been identified by Capita and disclosed to NHS England a year before our October 2017 evidence session.

Recommendation: NHS England should write to the Committee in November 2018 and again in May 2019 with an update on:

- the total number of items of misdirected correspondence identified to date:
- the size of the current backlog of unprocessed correspondence;
- any new backlogs of misdirected correspondence that have been identified since our March 2018 evidence session; and
- an update of its assessment of whether there has been harm to patients.
- 2. NHS England has not communicated effectively with GP practices about how they should handle misdirected clinical correspondence. GP practices have been required to return misdirected correspondence to the sender since May 2015, but practices are still sending around 5,000 to 10,000 items to Capita each month in error. NHS England tells us that it has previously communicated the arrangements for handling misdirected clinical correspondence to GPs, but acknowledges that its previous attempts did not have the required effect. It is planning a new communication campaign from May 2018, three years after the new arrangements were put in place.

Recommendation: NHS England should set out in its November 2018 update what it has done differently to ensure that its planned communication campaign is more effective than the last, as well as the impact the campaign is having on reducing the volume of correspondence that GPs are sending to Capita in error.

3. NHS England expects to spend £2.4 million attempting to resolve misdirected correspondence because some GP practices are not handling clinical correspondence correctly. We recognise the pressures that GPs are under but nevertheless they do have staff that are responsible for providing administrative support. NHS England says that, because there is such a large number of practices that are not handling clinical correspondence appropriately, it is not realistic for it to use its powers to intervene in individual cases. In practice, it is not clear whether NHS England has the levers that it needs to ensure that GPs comply with the requirements. NHS England cannot yet identify the worst offending GP practices, but plans to analyse data on which GPs are not complying following its communication campaign in May 2018. It estimates that it will cost £2.4 million to review the new backlog of clinical correspondence for evidence of harm caused to patients as a result of the issue.

Recommendation: NHS England should report back to the Committee by November 2018 on what it is doing to identify consistently non-compliant GP practices and how it is going to work with GP representative bodies to ensure GP practices are following the correct correspondence handling procedures.

4. The problem got worse and remedial action for patients was delayed because it took NHS England too long to escalate the issue internally. NHS England first learnt about the new backlog of correspondence in May 2016, but the issue was not escalated internally until August 2017. It tells us that, between October 2016 and August 2017, its primary care support team reviewed the correspondence to understand the scale and nature of the issue, but that this "took them a fair while". During this period, the size of the backlog grew as Capita continued to receive clinical correspondence from GPs in error. Capita and NHS England's initial checks in November 2016 identified 170,000 items of clinical correspondence, but by November 2017 this had reached 373,868. NHS England recognises that the issue was escalated "rather belatedly internally" and is carrying out an internal review of what went wrong.

Recommendation: In its November 2018 update, NHS England should set out what it has done to ensure that issues and risks get escalated promptly in the future.

# 1 The scale and impact of the incident

- 1. On the basis of a Report by the Comptroller and Auditor General, we took evidence from NHS England on clinical correspondence handling in the NHS.¹ Clinical correspondence is a record of a patient's interaction with a healthcare professional or service. It includes clinical papers, child protection notes, treatment plans and changes to a patient's medication regimes. On occasions clinical correspondence is misdirected, for example when patients have changed GP practice or correspondence is sent to the wrong practice. In all such cases, the mail needs to be redirected to the correct recipient.²
- 2. Up to 31 May 2015, NHS Shared Business Services (NHS SBS) was one of a number of NHS and private providers responsible for redirecting correspondence about patients that was sent to the wrong GP. In March 2016 NHS SBS informed NHS England and the Department of Health that it had discovered a backlog of approximately 435,000 items of unprocessed clinical correspondence. NHS England declared a national incident as soon as it had discovered the backlog.<sup>3</sup> We took evidence on this issue in October 2017 and issued a report on 29 November 2017. During the course of that inquiry NHS England informed us that it had discovered a new backlog of 162,000 items of clinical correspondence that had not been redirected. NHS England stated that a small proportion of GPs had not been complying with guidance and had erroneously been sending clinical correspondence and other material to Capita.<sup>4</sup>
- 3. NHS England is responsible for arranging primary care support services in England and for the process for redirecting clinical correspondence. In May 2015 NHS England introduced new arrangements and since that date GPs are to return misdirected correspondence to the sender. Capita is the current provider of primary care support services for NHS England but has no contractual responsibility for redirecting correspondence. At our March 2018 evidence session we examined how NHS England had allowed another backlog of unprocessed clinical correspondence to accumulate.<sup>5</sup>

#### The scale of the new backlog

4. NHS England told us in October 2017 that a small proportion of GPs had not been complying with proper practice and that, as a result, there were probably about 150,000 items of correspondence that needed to be returned to the correct GP. It added that there were also 12,000 items of unprocessed correspondence that transferred from NHS SBS to Capita as part of the transfer of primary care services. However, by July 2017, NHS England had identified a total of 277,000 items of mishandled clinical correspondence and, by November 2017, this had increased to 373,868 such items. Of these, some 27,172 of the 373,868 items needed to be returned to the relevant GP so that they could assess whether there had been any harm to patients. NHS England told the National Audit Office that the 150,000 figure represents the number of items of correspondence which

Report by the Comptroller and Auditor General, <u>Investigation into clinical correspondence handling in the NHS</u>, Session 2017–19, HC 778, 2 February 2018

<sup>2</sup> C&AG's Report, para 1.1

<sup>3</sup> C&AG's Report, para 1–2

<sup>4</sup> Committee of Public Accounts, Fourth Report of Session 2017–19, Clinical correspondence handling at NHS Shared Business Services, HC 396, 29 November 2017

<sup>5</sup> C&AG's Report, paras 2–3

<sup>6</sup> Committee of Public Accounts, Fourth Report of Session 2017–19, Clinical correspondence handling at NHS Shared Business Services, HC 396, 29 November 2017; Q 6

it had identified for further triage at that point, having discounted other non-clinical correspondence items that had been identified.<sup>7</sup> In March 2018 NHS England told us that it had, in fact, overstated the figure in October 2017, as there turned out to be only 30,328 items that required "clinical triage".<sup>8</sup>

5. NHS England led us to believe in October 2017 that the new cases of mishandled correspondence had been discovered as a result of checks that it had carried out following the NHS SBS incident. It said that following the NHS SBS incident, it had looked back at the processes used for the correspondence items not processed by NHS SBS on a "belt-and-braces" and "abundance-of-caution" basis. It added that it had also looked at whether GPs had been following the new processes for redirecting clinical correspondence and had identified the new pieces of unprocessed correspondence. However, the National Audit Office found that the new correspondence had in fact been identified by Capita and disclosed to NHS England in May 2016 and again in October 2016. 10

#### The impact of the two incidents on patients

- 6. NHS England has identified a total of 1,132,043 documents that needed to be reviewed following the NHS SBS incident and discovery of the new backlog of clinical correspondence. So far, it has identified two cases where expert consultant review had concluded that patient harm cannot be ruled out. NHS England told us that in one of these cases, the GP has spoken to the patient about a referral that should have taken place. It said that in the other case, the patient is now deceased and NHS England is trying to find the family to inform them of the incident. It told us that it aimed to have dealt with that particular case by April 2018. 12
- 7. Following clinical review, NHS England has ruled out the possibility of harm to patients for 1,016,378 of the 1,132,043 cases. However, there remain 1,821 outstanding cases where further information, such as clinical notes, is required before the review can be completed. There are also an additional 4,070 cases where NHS England has not yet gained the necessary patient consent to allow it to access the patient's clinical notes. NHS England told us that, in most cases, the GP has attempted to make contact with the patient on a number of occasions, and NHS England has also written to all these patients by recorded delivery to ensure that they had the opportunity to ask for a review. It said that a note had been made on the medical records of these patients to prompt a review when they next contact their GP. 14

<sup>7</sup> C&AG's Report, paras 7, 3.7–3.9

<sup>8</sup> Q 64

<sup>9</sup> Oral evidence taken before the Committee of Public Accounts on 16 October 2017, HC 396, Qq 4, 6

<sup>10</sup> C&AG's Report, paras 4–5

<sup>11</sup> Letter from NHS England to Committee of Public Accounts, 22 March 2018

<sup>12</sup> C&AG's Report, Qq 15–17

<sup>13</sup> Letter from NHS England to Committee of Public Accounts, 22 March 2018

<sup>14</sup> C&AG's Report, Q22

# 2 NHS England's handling of the incident

# Communicating the arrangements for handling clinical correspondence

- 8. Since May 2015, GP practices have been required to return clinical correspondence about patients that are not registered at their practice to the original sender. However, practices are still sending around 5,000 to 10,000 items to Capita each month in error. NHS England told us that it has previously communicated the arrangements for handling misdirected clinical correspondence to GPs, but acknowledged that its previous attempts had not had the required effect.<sup>15</sup>
- 9. NHS England said that it is planning a new communication campaign from May 2018 to ensure that GP practices understand the requirement to return misdirected correspondence to the sender. It noted that it was particularly important that administrative staff working in practices understood this requirement. NHS England said it would not be possible to carry out a targeted campaign, as too many GPs are not following the correct procedure. However, it noted that there are plans to introduce a tagging system which will enable it to produce data on the movement of correspondence, and to be more targeted in its communications in the future. <sup>16</sup>

#### Action taken by NHS England in response to non-compliance by GPs

- 10. NHS England acknowledged that there was a widespread problem with GP practices not handling clinical correspondence appropriately. It told us that it cannot yet identify the worst offending GP practices, but plans to analyse data on which practices are not complying following its communication campaign in May 2018. It said it would share data on the worst offending GP practices with local offices and clinical commissioning groups that manage its relationship with GPs.<sup>17</sup>
- 11. NHS England told us that because non-compliance is widespread, it is not realistic for it to use its powers to intervene in individual cases. It said it may make use of these powers if it could reduce the number of non-compliant practices. In our November 2017 Report we commented that NHS England had given up trying to find out whether any patients had been harmed simply because 2,000 GPs had not confirmed that they had reviewed correspondence about patients, despite receiving payments for the work. In response to our questions about whether NHS England has the levers to intervene where GPs had not provided the necessary information, NHS England was reluctant to identify any additional powers it might need. It responded that in this particular incident, it did not need more levers as the "vast majority" of GPs had done what was asked of them.

<sup>15</sup> C&AG's Report, paras 2, 11; Q44

<sup>16</sup> Qq 41–45

<sup>17</sup> Qq 45-48, 51; C&AG's Report, para 9

<sup>18</sup> Q 51

<sup>19</sup> Committee of Public Accounts, Fourth Report of Session 2017–19, Clinical correspondence handling at NHS Shared Business Services, HC 396, 29 November 2017

<sup>20</sup> Qq 54-60

#### **Escalating the issue**

- 12. In May 2016, Capita informed a member of NHS England's primary care support team that there was a problem with an unquantified accumulation of "clinical notes" (a term which can refer to a range of material, including clinical correspondence). In October 2016, Capita flagged the issue again in a report to NHS England. However, NHS England told us that the incident was not escalated to its senior management team and Chief Executive until August 2017.<sup>21</sup>
- 13. NHS England told us that, between October 2016 and August 2017, its primary care support team reviewed the correspondence to understand the scale and nature of the issue, but that this "took them a fair while". NHS England had already established a separate National Incident Team (NIT) in March 2016 to process the backlog of correspondence that had been identified in NHS SBS, but the new items of correspondence were not transferred to the NIT until September 2017. NHS England told us that the reason for the delay was because most of the correspondence related to current material and NHS England's response was to try to fix the process that was in place for dealing with such correspondence. NHS England recognises that the issue was escalated "rather belatedly internally" and is carrying out an internal review of what went wrong.
- 14. During the period before the issue was escalated, the size of the backlog grew as Capita continued to receive clinical correspondence from GPs in error. Capita and NHS England's initial checks in November 2016 identified 170,000 items of clinical correspondence, but by November 2017 this had reached 373,868. NHS England estimates that it will cost £2.4 million to review the new backlog of clinical correspondence for evidence of harm caused to patients.<sup>26</sup>

<sup>21</sup> C&AG's Report, paras 4-5; Q 66

<sup>22</sup> Q 67

<sup>23</sup> C&AG's Report, para 3.5

<sup>24</sup> Q 69

<sup>25</sup> Q 74

<sup>26</sup> C&AG's Report, para 6, 3.8, 3.12

### Formal minutes

#### Wednesday 23 May 2018

Members present:

Meg Hillier, in the Chair

Bim Afolami Shabana Mahmood

Sir Geoffrey Clifton-Brown Layla Moran

Chris Evans Anne Marie Morris

Caroline Flint Lee Rowley
Luke Graham Gareth Snell

Gillian Keegan

Draft Report (*Clinical correspondence handling in the NHS*), proposed by the Chair, brought up and read.

Ordered, That the draft Report be read a second time, paragraph by paragraph.

Paragraphs 1 to 14 read and agreed to.

Introduction agreed to.

Conclusions and recommendations agreed to.

Summary agreed to.

*Resolved*, That the Report be the Forty-third of the Committee to the House.

*Ordered*, That the Chair make the Report to the House.

*Ordered*, That embargoed copies of the Report be made available, in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order No. 134.

[Adjourned till Monday 4 June 2018 at 3.30pm

# Witnesses

The following witnesses gave evidence. Transcripts can be viewed on the <u>inquiry publications</u> page of the Committee's website.

#### Monday 26 March 2018

Question number

**Simon Stevens**, Chief Executive, and **Paul Baumann**, Finance Director, NHS England

Q1-82

# **Published correspondence**

The following correspondence was received and can be viewed on the <u>inquiry publications</u> page of the Committee's website.

1 Correspondence from NHS England regarding clinical correspondence handling, 22 March 2018

# List of Reports from the Committee during the current session

All publications from the Committee are available on the <u>publications page</u> of the Committee's website. The reference number of the Government's response to each Report is printed in brackets after the HC printing number.

#### **Session 2017–19**

| First Report       | Tackling online VAT fraud and error                                 | HC 312<br>(Cm 9549)              |
|--------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Second Report      | Brexit and the future of Customs                                    | HC 401<br>(Cm 9565)              |
| Third Report       | Hinkley Point C   | HC 393<br>(Cm 9565)              |
| Fourth Report      | Clinical correspondence handling at NHS Shared<br>Business Services | HC 396<br>(Cm 9575)              |
| Fifth Report       | Managing the costs of clinical negligence in hospital trusts        | HC 397<br>(Cm 9575)              |
| Sixth Report       | The growing threat of online fraud                                  | HC 399<br>(Cm 9575)              |
| Seventh Report     | Brexit and the UK border  | HC 558<br>(Cm 9575)              |
| Eighth Report      | Mental health in prisons  | HC 400<br>(Cm 9575)<br>(Cm 9596) |
| Ninth Report       | Sheffield to Rotherham tram-trains                                  | HC 453<br>(Cm 9575)              |
| Tenth Report       | High Speed 2 Annual Report and Accounts                             | HC 454<br>(Cm 9575)              |
| Eleventh Report    | Homeless households   | HC 462<br>(Cm 9575)<br>(Cm 9618) |
| Twelfth Report     | HMRC's Performance in 2016–17                                       | HC 456<br>(Cm 9596)              |
| Thirteenth Report  | NHS continuing healthcare funding                                   | HC 455<br>(Cm 9596)              |
| Fourteenth Report  | Delivering Carrier Strike   | HC 394<br>(Cm 9596)              |
| Fifteenth Report   | Offender-monitoring tags  | HC 458<br>(Cm 9596)              |
| Sixteenth Report   | Government borrowing and the Whole of Government Accounts           | HC 463<br>(Cm 9596)              |
| Seventeenth Report | Retaining and developing the teaching workforce                     | HC 460<br>(Cm 9596)              |

| Eighteenth Report     | Exiting the European Union  | HC 467<br>(Cm 9596) |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------|
| Nineteenth Report     | Excess Votes 2016–17  | HC 806<br>(Cm 9596) |
| Twentieth Report      | Update on the Thameslink Programme  | HC 466<br>(Cm 9618) |
| Twenty-First Report   | The Nuclear Decommissioning Authority's Magnox  | HC 461<br>(Cm 9618) |
| Twenty-Second Report  | The monitoring, inspection and funding of Learndirect Ltd.  | HC 875<br>(Cm 9618) |
| Twenty-Third Report   | Alternative Higher Education Providers  | HC 736<br>(Cm 9618) |
| Twenty-Fourth Report  | Care Quality Commission: regulating health and social care  | HC 468<br>(Cm 9618) |
| Twenty-Fifth Report   | The sale of the Green Investment Bank   | HC 468<br>(Cm 9618) |
| Twenty-Sixth Report   | Governance and departmental oversight of the Greater<br>Cambridge Greater Peterborough Local Enterprise<br>Partnership      | HC 896<br>(Cm 9618) |
| Twenty-Seventh Report | Government contracts for Community Rehabilitation Companies   | HC 897<br>(Cm 9618) |
| Twenty-Eighth Report  | Ministry of Defence: Acquisition and support of defence equipment   | HC 724<br>(Cm 9618) |
| Twenty-Ninth Report   | Sustainability and transformation in the NHS  | HC 793<br>(Cm 9618) |
| Thirtieth Report      | Academy schools' finances   | HC 760<br>(Cm 9618) |
| Thirty-First Report   | The future of the National Lottery  | HC 898              |
| Thirty-Second Report  | Cyber-attack on the NHS   | HC 787              |
| Thirty-Third Report   | Research and Development funding across government  | HC 668              |
| Thirty-Fourth Report  | Exiting the European Union: The Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy                                     | HC 687              |
| Thirty-Fifth Report   | Rail franchising in the UK  | HC 689              |
| Thirty-Sixth Report   | Reducing modern slavery   | HC 886              |
| Thirty-Seventh Report | Exiting the European Union: The Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs and the Department for International Trade | HC 699              |
| Thirty-Eighth Report  | The adult social care workforce in England  | HC 690              |
| Thirty-Ninth Report   | The Defence Equipment Plan 2017–2027  | HC 880              |

| Fortieth Report      | Renewable Heat Incentive in Great Britain                        | HC 696  |
|----------------------|--|---------|
| Forty-First Report   | Government risk assessments relating to Carillion                | HC 1045 |
| Forty-Second Report  | Modernising the Disclosure and Barring Service                   | HC 695  |
| First Special Report | Chair of the Public Accounts Committee's Second<br>Annual Report | HC 347  |